

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/752,909	12/28/2000	Ramachandra Pai	BEA9-2000-0016-US1	9610	
30011 73	590 10/28/2003		EXAMI	EXAMINER	
	V & BRANDSDORFER, VALD CHAPEL DRIVE	THAI, TU	THAI, TUAN V		
GAITHERSBURG, MD 20878			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2186	0	
			DATE MAILED: 10/28/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

>

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
09/752,909		PAI, RAMACHANDRA	a
Examiner		Art Unit	
Tuan V Thai		2196	

		Tuan v. Thai	2186	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
There final recordit	REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF fore, further action by the applicant is required to a ejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1 ion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea ination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	l) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rec	cation in
	PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) [The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
b) [≥	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.	
have be 37 CFR (b) abov	tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened e, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three modern term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the	fee. The appropriate extended the final Office action: or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	s Brief must be filed within the p R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal (period set forth in of the appeal.	
2.	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a)	they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b)	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the
(d)	they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.
	NOTE:			
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject			
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely file	d amendment
5.	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:	r reconsideration has been cons	sidered but does NO	OT place the
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly
7.	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	i(s) a) will not be entered or bould be rejected is provided belo)∏ will be entered ow or appended.	and an
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
	Claim(s) allowed:			
	Claim(s) objected to:			
	Claim(s) rejected:			
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8.	The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	proved by the Exan	niner.
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen			
	Other: See Continuation Sheet			

Continuation of 10. Other: The request for reconsideration filed 10/14/03 has been considered and entered; however they are not deemed to be persuasive. For example, Examiner would like to emphasize that in considering a 35 USC 103 rejection, it is not strictly necessary that a reference or references explicitly suggest the claimed invention (this is tantamount to a 35 USC 102 reference if the modifications would have been obvious to those of ordinary skill in the art, Ashcroft clearly discloses that his invention can be implemented in other operating systems which including the citation wherein data is directly communicated from a hernel layer of a source node to a kernel layer of a target node (col. 4, lines 30 et seq.) for enhancing system reliability and recovery efficiency.

/ TUAN V.THA/ PRIMARY EXAMINE